

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/964,869 09/28/2001 | | Yong Burn Kim | 054358-5007 | 1477 | | |
| 9629 | 7590 04/18/2003 | | | | | |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | YLVANIA AVENUE NW DN, DC 20004 | | LEE, EUGENE | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2815 | | | |
| | | DATE MAIL ED. 04/19/2002 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application N | | | Applicant(s) | / | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | '' | | | KIM ET AL. | / | | | |
| | | 09/964,869 | | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | | | | | | |
| | - The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | Eugene Lee | ver s | heet with the c | 2815 orrespondence add | Iress | | | |
| - Period fo | | pears on the co | .,,, | | | | | | |
| THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.5IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, by within the statutory will apply and will ex | howeve minim pire SIX | er, may a reply be tin um of thirty (30) day K (6) MONTHS from ecome ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this col D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | mmunication. | | | |
| 1)[🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> | April 2003 . | | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ | 71110 0001011 10 11 11 11 | his action is no | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| - | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers | or election req | ullen | ient. | | | | | |
| | | ner. | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | gn priority unde | er 35 | U.S.C. § 119(| a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| l | ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| , | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| * ; | Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for a list. | iority documen Bureau (PCT R st of the certific | ts ha ule 1 ed co | ve been receiv 7.2(a)). pies not receiv | ved in this National ved. | | | | |
| 14) 🔲 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | stic priority und | ler 35 | 5 U.S.C. § 119 | (e) (to a provisiona | I application). | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachme | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Noti | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s | | 5) 🔲 | Interview Summa Notice of Informa Other: | ary (PTO-413) Paper No Il Patent Application (PT | o(s) | | | |

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-9) in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Claims 10 thru 23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to because element 11 should be element 11 in FIG. 1. See page 3, line 10. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the thin film transistors and data lines and gate lines being formed between the second substrate and the second orientation film (claim 3) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,869

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cacharelis 6,373,543 B1. Cacharelis discloses (see, for example, FIG. 1) a liquid crystal display comprising a first substrate 104, second substrate 106, first orientation film 111a, second orientation film 111b, and liquid crystal 102. The thickness of the second orientation film 111b clearly varies along its entire length. Regarding claim 3, see pixel electrodes 112a, 112b which are components of thin film transistors that consist of gate lines and data lines. Regarding claim 8, the orientation films are directed parallel to the substrates.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, and 4 thru 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takao et al. 4,917,471. Takao discloses (see, for example, FIG. 2) a liquid crystal display comprising a first substrate 13, second substrate 12, first orientation film 18, second orientation film 17, and liquid crystal 14. Regarding claims 2, 4-6, the second orientation film has correspondingly the greatest thickness over the highest blue filter, a medium thickness over the medium green filter, and the smallest thickness over the smallest red filter. Regarding claim 7,

Application/Control Number: 09/964,869

Art Unit: 2815

see, for example, column 4, line 2 wherein Takao states the liquid crystal as ferroelectric.

Regarding claim 8, the orientation films are directed parallel to the substrates.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takao et al.
 4,917,471. Takao does not disclose the total thickness of the respective orientation film of a pixel of each color being different from one another by approximately 0.01 to 0.1 um. However, Takao shows (see FIG. 2) the orientation film thickest over the blue filter and the thinnest over the red filter with the thickness of the orientation film over the green filter being in between. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to use this range for the different thicknesses of the orientation film over each pixel in order to accommodate the different sizes of the color filters, and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,869

Art Unit: 2815

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Eugene Lee April 16, 2003

> SHEILA V. CLARK PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5